

## **Dana Declaration on Mobile Peoples and Conservation**

*A group of concerned professionals including social and natural scientists from all regions of the world met in Wadi Dana Nature Reserve, Jordan, 3-7 April 2002, to consider a comprehensive approach to mobile peoples<sup>1</sup> and conservation. At the end of this meeting, they agreed the following declaration:*

The world faces unprecedented threats to the conservation and sustainable use of its biodiversity. At the same time, its cultural and linguistic diversity, which includes an immeasurable and irreplaceable range of knowledge and skills, is being lost at an alarming rate.

The linked pressures of human population dynamics, unsustainable consumption patterns, climate change and global and national economic forces threaten both the conservation of biological resources and the livelihoods of many indigenous and traditional peoples. In particular, mobile peoples now find themselves constrained by forces beyond their control, which put them at a special disadvantage.

Mobile peoples are discriminated against. Their rights, including rights of access to natural resources, are often denied and conventional conservation practices insufficiently address their concerns. These factors, together with the pace of global change, undermine their lifestyles, reduce their ability to live in balance with nature and threaten their very existence as distinct peoples.

Nonetheless, through their traditional resource use practices and culture-based respect for nature, many mobile peoples are still making a significant contribution to the maintenance of the earth's ecosystems, species and genetic diversity – even though this often goes unrecognised. Thus the interests of mobile peoples and conservation converge, especially as they face a number of common challenges. There is therefore an urgent need to create a mutually reinforcing partnership between mobile peoples and those involved with conservation.

In the light of this understanding, we commit ourselves to promoting conservation practices based on the following principles:

### **PRINCIPLE 1. RIGHTS AND EMPOWERMENT**

Conservation approaches with potential impact on mobile peoples and their natural resources must recognise mobile peoples' rights, management responsibilities and capacities, and should lead to effective empowerment. These rights include:

- 1.1 Human rights: civil, political, social, economic and cultural;
- 1.2 Land and resource rights, including those under customary law;
- 1.3 Cultural and intellectual property rights;
- 1.4 The right to full participation in decision-making and relevant negotiation processes at different levels;

---

<sup>1</sup> By mobile peoples, we mean a subset of indigenous and traditional peoples whose livelihoods depend on extensive common property use of natural resources over an area, who use mobility as a management strategy for dealing with sustainable use and conservation, and who possess a distinctive cultural identity and natural resource management system.

1.5 The right to derive equitable benefits from any consumptive or non-consumptive use of local natural resources.

To this end, appropriate legislative reforms should be promoted as needed, at national and international levels. In addition, because mobile peoples often move through different territories, transboundary co-operation between national authorities may be required.

Recognition of mobile peoples' rights should lead to effective empowerment, and include consideration of gender and age.

## PRINCIPLE 2. TRUST AND RESPECT

Beneficial partnerships between conservation interests and mobile peoples should be based upon mutual trust and respect and address the issue of discrimination against mobile peoples. To this end partnerships should:

- 2.1 Be equitable;
- 2.2 Fully respect and acknowledge mobile peoples' institutions;
- 2.3 Balance the exercise of rights by all parties with the fulfilment of responsibilities;
- 2.4 Recognise and incorporate relevant customary law;
- 2.5 Promote the accountability of all parties in relation to the fulfilment of conservation objectives and the needs of mobile peoples.

## PRINCIPLE 3. DIFFERENT KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS

In planning and implementing conservation of biodiversity with mobile peoples, there is a need to respect and incorporate their traditional knowledge and management practices. Given that no knowledge system is infallible, the complementary use of traditional and mainstream sciences is a valuable means of meeting the changing needs of mobile peoples and answering conservation dilemmas. In particular:

- 3.1 Traditional and mainstream sciences and management practices should enter into dialogue on a basis of equal footing and involve two-way learning;
- 3.2 Traditional and mainstream sciences should be appropriately valued and their dynamic nature acknowledged.

## PRINCIPLE 4. ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Conservation of biodiversity and natural resources within areas inhabited or used by mobile peoples requires the application of adaptive management approaches. Such approaches should build on traditional / existing cultural models and incorporate mobile peoples' worldviews, aspirations and customary law. They should work towards the physical and cultural survival of mobile peoples and the long-term conservation of biodiversity.

More particularly, such adaptive management approaches should:

- 4.1 Build on areas of common interest between the chosen lifestyles of mobile peoples and the conservation objective of sustainable resource management;
- 4.2 Allow for diversification of livelihoods, and ensure provision of a variety of benefits at all levels, including mobile services;
- 4.3 Recognise the diversity of systems of tenure and access to resources, including the customary sharing of resources;
- 4.4 Recognize and support the contributions made by mobile peoples to conserving and enhancing the genetic diversity of domesticated animals and plants;
- 4.5 Learn from the flexible management practices of mobile peoples to enrich conservation;
- 4.6 Develop conservation planning at a larger landscape scale, using the notion of mobility as a central concept, and incorporating both ecological and cultural perspectives.

#### PRINCIPLE 5: COLLABORATIVE MANAGEMENT

Adequate institutional structures for adaptive management should be based on the concept of equitable sharing of decision-making and management responsibilities between mobile peoples and conservation agencies. This is only possible if the existing decision-making mechanisms for biodiversity conservation become more democratic and transparent, so as to allow for the full and open participation of civil society and mobile peoples in particular, and for the establishment of co-management and self-management systems. This requires that the relevant parties:

- 5.1 Develop processes and means that foster cross-cultural dialogue directed towards consensual decision-making;
- 5.2 Incorporate culturally appropriate conflict-management mechanisms and institutions;
- 5.3 Recognize the time-scale appropriate to cultural processes and the time required to build intercultural partnerships for adaptive management;
- 5.4 Foster locally agreed solutions to conservation problems;
- 5.5 Encourage diverse and pluralistic approaches to conservation planning and implementation;
- 5.6 Develop their capacities to enter into mutually beneficial partnerships.

---

This declaration is our contribution to narrowing the disciplinary divide. The ideas in it need to be tested, refined and further developed in dialogue with mobile peoples themselves and others. But these issues need to be considered urgently at national and international levels – and in particular at the forthcoming World Summit on Sustainable Development and the World Parks Congress.

## PARTIES TO THE DECLARATION:

Alejandro Argumedo	Asociacion Quechua-Aymara ANDES, Indigenous Peoples' Biodiversity Network (IPBN), Peru
Richard Baker	School of Resources, Environment and Society, Australian National University, Australia
Ahmed Belal	UNESCO ECOTECHNE Chair, South Valley University, Egypt
Grazia Borrini-Feyerabend	Commission on Environmental, Economic and Social Policy, IUCN – The World Conservation Union
Geraldine Chatelard	European University Institute, Italy
Dawn Chatty	Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, UK
Marcus Colchester	Forest Peoples Programme
Christo Fabricius	Environmental Science Programme, Rhodes University, South Africa
Taghi Farvar	Commission on Environmental, Economic and Social Policy, IUCN – The World Conservation Union
Patricia Feeney	Rights and Accountability in Development- RAID, UK
Graham Griffin	Centre for Arid Zone Research, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Australia
Garth Owen-Smith	Integrated Rural Development and Nature Conservation, Namibia
Margaret Jacobsohn	Integrated Rural Development and Nature Conservation, Namibia
Chris Johnson	Royal Society for the Conservation of Nature, Jordan
Ilse Köhler-Rollefson	League for Pastoral Peoples, India
Terrence McCabe	Department of Anthropology, University of Colorado, USA
Jeff McNeely	IUCN - The World Conservation Union
Helen Newing	Durrell Institute of Conservation and Ecology, University of Kent at Canterbury, UK
Gonzalo Oviedo	International Consultant on People and Conservation
Adrian Phillips	Former Chair of the World Commission on Protected Areas of IUCN
Mohammad Qawabah	Royal Society for the Conservation of Nature, Jordan
Flavien Rebara	Worldwide Fund for Nature – WWF, Madagascar
Alan Rowe	Department of Geography, University of Glasgow, UK
Sabine Schmidt	GTZ, Project Nature Conservation and Bufferzone Development, Mongolia
Irina Springuel	UNESCO ECOTECHNE Chair, South Valley University, Egypt
Nick Turvey	Documentary Film-maker, UK
Reed Wadley	Department of Anthropology, University of Missouri at Columbia, USA